

Clark County Local Emergency Planning Committee

CLARK COUNTY FIRE ADMINISTRATION TELECONFERENCE

Join the meeting: https://call.lifesizecloud.com/3682112
Click to call from Mobile (audio only)
United States: +1 (312) 584-2401,, 3682112#

DRAFT Minutes March 16, 2023 02:00 PM

The Local Emergency Planning Committee may take action on items marked for possible action. For any item on the agenda the Local Emergency Planning Committee may accept public comment, at their discretion. Agenda items may be taken out of order, combined with other items, discussed or voted on as a block, removed from the agenda, or moved to another agenda of another later meeting at the discretion of the Committee. Please Note: Individuals wishing to have their complete testimony/handouts included in the permanent record of this meeting should provide a written or electronic copy to the Clark County Local Emergency Planning Committee. Minutes of the meeting are produced in a summary format and are not verbatim.

I. Call to Order Billy Samuels Chairman

II. Roll Call All

Andria: Present: (STARTED IN THE MIDDLE OF ROLL CALL) Lucas Basham, Evelyn Conerly, Tori Begay, Melanie Rouse, Bryan Ostaszewski, Brian Mitchell, Andrew Roth, Chris Sproule.

Andria: And with that Chief we made quorum.

III. Public Comments

Discussion Only - No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Public comments may be limited to 2 minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. Persons may not allocate unused time to other speakers. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint.

Chief Samuels: Hearing none, closing out Agenda Item #III.

IV. Comments from the Chair (Discussion only)

Billy Samuels

Chief Samuels: I appreciate you guys being patient with us and make sure we can make quorum. This is going to be a fairly short meeting. We just have a couple things that we have to do for administrative means. I know a lot of us are busy right now and (UNINTELLIGIBLE). So we appreciate you guys and we won't take much of your time.

V. * Review and Approve SERC OPTE grant applications in the amount of \$30,000.00 and \$4,000.00 administration totaling \$34,000.00.

Billy Samuels

 Review Boulder City Fire Department's application in the amount of \$27,594.00 for the purchase of atmospheric monitors with confined space kits, mounted charge kits, and 2 mounted/charging components.

b. Discuss Fire Shows Reno Conference and number of attendees. This conference is no longer permitted to be funded with HMEP Funds.

(For Possible Action)

Chief Samuels: Let start with Boulder City. Do I have a rep for Boulder City that would like to present what they are trying to accomplish on what they would like?

Captain Nigel Walton: Nigel Walton in place of Deputy Chief Greg Chesser. We are putting in for this grant totaling \$27,594.00 for 2 multi-ray (UNINTELLIGIBLE) gas monitors with confined space kits, their mounting and charging components, the gas (UNINTELLIGIBLE) system and the (UNINTELLIGIBLE) modem kits. This is going to give us at this point would be increased ability to respond to hazardous materials here within Boulder City's jurisdiction. Back in 2020 we decided if we are going to stand up, our ability to respond to these incidents we would be operating at the operations level and with minimal monitoring capabilities. Since then, we sent over half the department to technician school and we are in the process of standing up a technician level response. So our goal with purchasing this equipment would be to increase our abilities to go down range effectively and safely to monitor that atmosphere. We all understand to these incidents are generally outside the scope of one agency, and so this would also allow out to the systems also linked to Henderson Fire Department system who is our primary mutual base when it comes to use of this, so we would have (UNINTELLIGIBLE) there and we're seeking the funds so that we can increase our service capabilities and in safety and (UNINTELLIGIBLE).

Chief Samuels: Thanks Cap, I appreciate that. Please stay on if there are any questions. Does anyone have any questions or comments for Captain Walton and the purchase that they are trying to get?

Robert Palumbo: Captain I just have to clarify, would this be a new classification, forgive me about the terminology, but would this not just be enhancing your existing capability, but would this be adding new capability to your agency's response?

Captain Walton: It would. I would allow us to get much further into the mitigation process before (UNINTELLIGIBLE) waiting for future (UNINTELLIGIBLE). So it would increase our ability to get down safely and effectively down range to start mitigating these problems while still calling in our mutual aid partners to finish out the whole team. But yeah it would increase our ability to manage (UNINTELLIGIBLE).

Chief Samuels: You're (UNINTELLIGIBLE). Do you guys have the training infrastructure already in place to get the training or how are you going to get that?

Captain Walton: So again we sent more than half of our department to technician school we have certified through the state, so we do have the base level of training already in place to increase our current capabilities. Obviously when we have new pieces of equipment, (UNINTELLIGIBLE) and make sure that we're all trained up on the new systems in-house on how to properly use these detectors, but the school time should be pretty short as we already have the qualified and trained personnel to use it.

Carlito Rayos: I just have a comment for LEPC. I was just going to say that the LEPC situation awareness. Boulder City (UNINTELLIGIBLE) members as part of the Nevada Task Force One at the time and they have the ability to sustain their technician certifications right now through that, through the membership there.

Chief Samuels: Yeah, we do appreciate the partnership with Nevada Task Force One and your department (UNINTELLIGIBLE) members to it, we thank you for that. Alright Pam do you want to go ahead and discuss Item #b, the Fire Shows Conference?

Pam: Yes. So if this application is approved, that would leave us \$2,406.00 to put toward Fire Shows Reno, which is not a lot. I kind of did a projection and just looking at it now that could pretty much cover just food for 10 attendees. Everything else would be out of our financial route. We wouldn't be able to cover anything else. So the \$4,000.00, that's not something we can take into consideration spending because that's for administrative costs in running the LEPC which we do not use all those funds either, but we're not able to spend them on anything else; things such as copying costs, paper, anything administrative. So we wouldn't be able to put that \$4,000.00 towards the fire show. The only amount we would have left is \$2,406.00.

Chief Samuels: So for this group's clarification, there are only 2 items that are put in for the SERC OPTE Grant and one was (UNINTELLIGIBLE) and Clark County Fire OEM. We were going to take the remaining funds or whatever was left to put towards that fire show, (UNINTELLIGIBLE) applicable towards that, last year let some of these jurisdictions know that will be reimbursement from Fire Shows (UNINTELLIGIBLE) extremely difficult due to a different project, so we're kind of leaning forward a little bit and (UNINTELLIGIBLE) any money to go back to the state. So that is kind of what is up for bid for discussion as part of this agenda item. Does anybody have any questions on that?

Carolyn: So it says on Item b, that HMEP funds are no longer able to be used for Fire Shows Reno, do we have them contacted for that?

Pam: What they discovered in the last go around is that the majority of classes on the tract were not applicable to have that. So their thought was, well we can't pay....I can't remember what the exact percentage had to be, but it didn't meet HMEP criteria. So they said, well going forward these funds can't cover this cost anymore because it didn't meet whatever HMEP said it needed to meet. We actually almost didn't even get reimbursed last year because for that reason and it ended up coming out of SERC OPTE funds. So they're just saying that it doesn't meet what the criteria needs to be, so it is going to have to come from SERC OPTE.

Carolyn: Thanks for clarifying that, because I know that training is eligible. I didn't know why Fire Shows suddenly was not. So if we use the other training requests, HMEP is still a valid (UNINTELLIGIBLE) source.

Pam: Not for Fire Shows, they were very clear, but yes you're right. They're very strict.

Carolyn: So what might be useful the next time HMEP funds come out, whether it's a new grant or if it's (UNINTELLIGIBLE) grant, some clarification on what is eligible as a training category under that (UNINTELLIGIBLE), because I want to make sure we don't lose those dollars because we're not applying them to a tried and true.....previously tried and true source.

Pam: I understand, yes. Thank you.

Chief Samuels: Carolyn, my office we had a lot of work we had to do to try to (UNINTELLIGIBLE) those costs from all of our jurisdictions going up to Reno last year and we don't want to have to try and do that again, so we will.....(UNINTELLIGIBLE) hazmat component that would be a lot more closely tied than Fire Shows. Fire Shows is (UNINTELLIGIBLE) than hazmat.

Carolyn: How many people do you remember? How many did we send last year?

Chief Samuels: I want to say 15, is that correct, Pam?

Pam: It was either 14 or 15, but it was a pretty high number.

Carolyn: I know all the other counties were doing the same thing, so this has got to be hurting Fire Shows. I would hope that they will get their track back up in alignment with hazmat soon, they're losing too many of their customers.

Chief Samuels: Yeah, but I think this is a discussion for all the LEPC's, this wasn't just Clark County LEPC, this was all the LEPC's throughout the state, we're having the same issues.

Carolyn: Oh, I'm sure of it. I'm pretty sure combined it provides the vast majority of support for that conference. That conference isn't going to last very long without all those people going.

Chief Samuels: Brian Arboreen did you have something to chime in on?

Brian Arboreen: I was just wondering what is the funding for the International Conference back in Baltimore, that's completely separate I'm assuming?

Chief Samuels: Carlito, where did that money come from for the Baltimore trip?

Carlito Rayos: That was (UNINTELLIGIBLE). But I did want to point out I think it's important to recognize that this fire show was traditionally an approved training program for these funds. I think the issue was that this was the first year that they had broken up the hazmat track and a lot of the people that attended were not registered for the actual hazmat track in the more traditional suppression portion of that conference.

Brian Arboreen: Carlito are you thinking that we could maybe adjust the registration to recognize what that overlooked as a hazmat track during last year's registration and still be within compliance of HMEP?

Carlito Rayos: Yeah, I think so. So obviously that covers the international association of Fire Chiefs' hazmat conference. Fire Shows west, they kind of like had 3 shows in one. (UNINTELLIGIBLE) structural suppression and then there was a track just for hazmat. I don't know that everybody that used the HMEP funds to travel to Reno and attended were registered for the hazmat track class. That's what sounds like they're trying to compel us to do.

Brian Arboreen: That's easy. That's actually a good piece of information that we could apply when we're qualifying folks or maybe Fire Shows and just let them know obviously you can look around when you get there, but as far as registration, you need to be registered for the hazmat track. Thank you, Chief, I was just wondering, but was definitely was trying to figure out if there was a way to reorganize funding to take care of Fire Shows knowing that the International Conference will guarantee the qualify for the HMEP, but it sounds like it's all in the same.

Chief Samuels: Yeah, one thing when you guys all registered for the Fire Shows last year, there was a hazmat track that was \$195. That one (UNINTELLIGIBLE), but after you registered for that track, it doesn't automatically put you in the hazmat class, then you can still pick the course that you want to take. A lot of the courses like Chief Carlito just said was Wild Land, then there was some mental health, then there was hazmat. So it was a little different that way.

Pam: I will say the majority of the people did register for the hazmat track. There were only a very few that went rogue and signed up for the whole thing and they still had an issue with it. So it's kind of a tough crew, yeah.

Chief Samuels: And we're going to continue to work on that, the relationship with our LEPC and the State Emergency Response Commission as well. Do I have anyone else for discussion on these 2 projects that were submitted?

Carolyn Levering: I guess I just have one more question. The remaining balance, assuming that we approve Boulder City's application and there's just a couple thousand dollars left, is it (UNINTELLIGIBLE) to apply it to send in one more person to Baltimore in June? Is it worthwhile doing that rather than not using it at all since it can't be used really for Fire Shows Reno?

Pam: We won't have it set up in time to utilize it for June. We won't receive the award and we will not have it set up within the County finance system.

Carolyn Levering: I thought I'd check in with that.

Chief Samuels: For the record, Carolyn always has good ideas.

Carolyn Levering: Well, I don't know what else we should really try to vote on for the other \$2,000.00. I'll make a motion just to get the discussion sewn up here. I'll move to approve Boulder City Fire Department's application for \$27,594.00 for the purchase of atmospheric monitors and their confined space kits, charge kits and all other (UNINTELLIGIBLE) accessories, and I will not make any motions on the residual funds (UNINTELLIGIBLE) available. As far as I am concerned, we can leave that on the table. That's my motion.

Chief Samuels: Thank you, Carolyn. Do I have a second?

Robert Palumbo: I'll second.

Chief Samuels: Thank you Robert. So we have a motion. This is a combined agenda item, but this is for discussion. So let's discuss on the motion that Carolyn just made that Robert seconded. She made the motion for Boulder City to get approved, but that the remaining dollars she did not identify or specify where that needs to go or where she wants it to go. So (UNINTELLIGIBLE) want to have that for discussion that they would like to specify those funds allocated?

Carolyn Levering: Chief, also a question too, I forgot to include the \$4,000.00 administration. Do you want a separate motion for that, or to amend the current motion?

Chief Samuels: No, what would you like to do with that \$4,000.00? I would love to (UNINTELLIGIBLE) used for stuff like to get used for training, but it is literally I guess for papers and copies (UNINTELLIGIBLE).

Carolyn Levering: Alright, so you don't have any use for that then, you'd rather leave that on the table as well?

Chief Samuels: I think that was the case (UNINTELLIGIBLE).

Pam: We can't do anything with it other than pay for transcribing that sort of thing.

Carolyn Levering: Yeah, I remember the good old days when \$4,000.00 actually went somewhere, but understood. I just wanted to check and make sure it wasn't creating oversight.

Chief Samuels: (UNINTELLIGIBLE) I don't think any of us like to turn money away in this group (UNINTELLIGIBLE) project, so we're trying to use everything we can to kind of give more people to use the funds that were allocated or at least available to this group. Alright so do I have any discussion on the Boulder City project being approved? And is everyone good with the remaining funds to be sitting there (UNINTELLIGIBLE) or to be used with the Fire Shows Reno conference, at least to go toward that to send people up?

Brian Arboreen: Is there a way to just go ahead and approve it for that use and then it would be up to each agency if they're going to sponsor attendance to make that

available to them or is that exactly what you're thinking at this point if it is left after that, then it's left?

Chief Samuels: Yeah, so my vision with the \$2,000.00 plus, would be.....well all agencies you know how we usually do is everyone, you know, we get this (UNINTELLIGIBLE) for people to go to Fire Shows. (UNINTELLIGIBLE) would be given for that. If we can and for some reason you know a year down the road we can't use it, we'll just send it back.

Brian Arboreen: Perfect. Yeah, I'd like to make a motion to go ahead and add that as an addendum to Carolyn's motion if that's appropriate.

Chief Samuels: That is. I'm going to ask Carolyn if she is good with that.

Carolyn Levering: I will accept that as a friendly addendum.

Chief Samuels: Awesome. So I'll clear that up. Project "a" is the Boulder City atmospheric monitors and accessories for \$27,594.00; looking to amend the items to have the Fire Shows Reno conference funds with the remainder of the balance up to that \$30,000.00, so \$2,000.00 and change with allotted and award to this group for SERC OPTE. Carolyn, is that pretty close?

Carolyn: Yes, that works.

Chief Samuels: Alright, so Carolyn has the first, Brian with an amendment, do I hear a second?

Solome Barton: Second.

Chief Samuels: Solome second. Do I have any discussion? All in favor say "I". Do I hear any opposed? Motion passes. Thank you guys, I appreciate that. I am going to close out Agenda Item V.

VI. * Review and Approve the LEPC Membership List. Billy Samuels (For Possible Action)

Chief Samuels: So for those that were able to review their list, (UNINTELLIGIBLE) that SERC is asking us to do. This is really just saying who all we have to have on our LEPC. I will read it; it's not that long, but it has to include this many people. The categories are, and then I'm not going to go over agency members. (UNINTELLIGIBLE) officials and local officials (UNINTELLIGIBLE), law enforcement, civil defense, firefighting, emergency management, (UNINTELLIGIBLE) health, local environment, hospitals, transportation, broadcast, media, community groups and (UNINTELLIGIBLE) facility (UNINTELLIGIBLE) and operators. We do meet all of those on this group and I do appreciate that and I (UNINTELLIGIBLE) off on this, and that is our membership. Does anyone have any questions or if they would like to add anyone else to our LEPC?

Male Voice: Do we have Tribal?

Chief Samuels: I don't think we have Tribal on here for historical. Carolyn you probably have a better history as to why has Tribal never been on here?

Carolyn: I don't know. I think because it wasn't a requirement. There are so many required positions that anything that wasn't required by (UNINTELLIGIBLE) probably just didn't get asked. But there is no reason why Tribal could not be included. Keep in mind, Tribes also have their own, you know, inter-Tribal emergency response commissions as well. So it may be a duplication from that perspective for them. But for us, I think it would be a valuable addition to the group.

Chief Samuels: Yeah, I think that's a great idea. We'll go forward with that and we'll try to move to add them to our LEPC's. While they are on (UNINTELLIGIBLE), I don't know why they can't be on LEPC as well. I like that, good point. Who's got that? Who made that comment?

Phil Klevorick: Phil Klevorick.

Chief Samuels: Thank you, I appreciate that. This is for possible action.

Robert Palumbo: I know we have members from UMC, but do we have any representations from either Valley or Siena?

Chief Samuels: We have the Southern Nevada Healthcare Coalition Conference that Karen Donnahie and Kerrie Humble are on, so they take on (UNINTELLIGIBLE) for them. Alright I'm looking for a motion.

Carolyn Levering: I'll take a crack at it. I move to approve the membership list to include an offer to participate from a locally based Tribal organization.

Chief Samuels: That sounds perfect, I like it.

Phil Klevorick: I'll second that.

Chief Samuels: Carolyn with the first and Phil with the second. Do I have any discussion? All in favor say "I". Do I have any opposed? Hearing none the motion passes.

VII. * Review and Approve the LEPC Bylaws. (For Possible Action)

Billy Samuels

Chief Samuels: So for those that have actually read the packet that was sent which you have in front of you, the Bylaws, it really was just a clerical item that they needed, which was to add the Dissolution of a LEPC article and that was it. So, if anyone had a chance to look at those and review those that was really just a formatting issue they wanted changed. Do you have any question on this? This is for discussion and possible action.

Carlito Rayos: Did we check with SERC to make sure that we include the appropriate language to make purchases and grant allocations in accordance with their fiscal policy.

Chief Samuels: The Bylaws that they sent a template was a very short Bylaws list, Chief, so whatever they have we just jumped through (UNINTELLIGIBLE). Again it was just a formatting change. I don't know if we had anything else like that.

Andria: Carlito, they only wanted us to add a small article at the end of our Bylaws which was the dissolution of the LEPC. They didn't specify anything else specifically for grant language, so according to them, this is what they needed to follow their guidelines.

Carlito Rayos: Okay. Thanks Andria.

Chief Samuels: Carlito was that a motion, is that what you were saying?

Carlito Rayos: Absolutely, I make a motion to approve the revised Bylaws or accept the revised Bylaws with the required changes.

Chief Samuels: Thanks, Carlito. Do I hear a second?

Solome Barton: I second it.

Chief Samuels: Solome for the second. Do I have any discussion? All in favor say "I". Do I hear any opposed? Thank you, motion passes.

SPECIAL REPORTS & WORKING GROUPS

a. Nuclear Waste Division Yucca Mountain Update

Phil Klevorick, Clark County Nuclear Waste

Chief Samuels: (UNINTELLIGIBLE) written document that was one of his updates. Phil did you want to go over that or give the cliffs notes and we can submit that official writing into the minutes, what was your plan on that?

Phil Klevorick: Thanks, Chief. I'll just give a quick update. Phil Klevorick, the program manager for the nuclear waste division for Clark County. Over the last few weeks, the Department of Energy starting shipping the U233 material out of back east and I want to just get ahead of this because for all of us who are old enough to remember around 10 to 12 years ago there was a big to do when they started shipping U233 (UNINTELLIGIBLE) material on ground transportation without any escorts for disposal up at the Test Site. So in their good wisdom and efforts moving forwarding 10 plus years, they have now removed the thorium out of the U233 component, made it much safer to transport and they shipped the first shipment roughly 3 weeks ago in a Type A canister with about .7 mg per hour at 1 meter distance and so it's well below the shipping standards for that type of canister. So we can expect probably future shipments to be shipped in Type B canister because of the meeting of the radiological standards. There is going to be roughly 68 shipments over the next 4 years at roughly 1 shipment per 3 or so weeks. And really, Chief, that's really about all I know to update on because that's all I know. If anybody wants to get a hold of me, please email me and I will get back to them.

Chief Samuels: I appreciate the update, thank you very much. Does anyone have any questions for Phil on that?

Carolyn Levering: I do. I didn't know if anybody was tracking all of the rail incidents that are happening around the country including our own state in the last week; and of course I think a lot of people remember rail was a major concern for us for Yucca Mountain activities and things. Do you know if the state, or are you personally tracking those incidents for historical purposes for use in the future?

Phil Klevorick: So yes, and I'm actually attending the National Transportation Stakeholders Forum meetings with the Department of Energy and other stakeholders in May and clearly I'm going to butting my head into some private meetings where I'm not going to be invited, but I will self-invite, kind of like the (UNINTELLIGIBLE) plan and ultimately have that major discussion on how safe is the rail, not just for shipping of nuclear but obviously shipping of hazardous materials. And it's going to be in the forefront because it already is in the forefront. So thank you Carolyn.

Carolyn Levering: I appreciate that, thank you. And thank you for being bold enough to butt into those conversations because I think it is important that we know what is going on with that.

Rachel Skidmore: I did just want to emphasize too, the Southern Nevada Counter Terrorism Center has a product that they put out with regards to some of the rail issues, the derailments and the causes and weaponizing. So I wanted to put that out there that was available to if they were interested.

Phil Klevorick: Please if you wouldn't mind sending that my way, it'd be great.

Rachel Skidmore: I'd be happy to.

Chief Samuels: For this committee, Rachel, how would people have access to that if they wanted the information? What's (UNINTELLIGIBLE) website or phone number?

Rachel Skidmore: (UNINTELLIGIBLE) just get with me after and I can add them to the (UNINTELLIGIBLE).

Chief Samuels: Does anyone have any questions for Phil, or Carolyn or Rachel? Alright hearing none, I'm going to close out Agenda Item #VII.

VIII. Public Comments

Discussion Only - No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Public comments may be limited to 2 minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. Persons may not allocate unused time to other speakers. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint.

Chief Samuels: Do I have anybody for public comment?

Female Voice: (UNINTELLIGIBLE) from (UNINTELLIGIBLE) I just wanted to let you know that I was on the line.

Chief Samuels: I appreciate that. And we'll go through our email and make sure that (UNINTELLIGIBLE).

Brian Arboreen: Do we have a plan for offering to go to the International Conference or Chem Trec or is that in the works or is that being handled through another channel or do you have any information on that?

Chief Samuels: I do not, but that is something that we can look into. I know Carlito might have had that in his previous job. (UNINTELLIGIBLE). We can definitely look at that and if there are some courses that you want and those are specific for hazmat that we should be able to still send people to through different funding trees.

Brian Arboreen: Okay. But there is interest in the International Hazmat Conference and that would just be demonstrating some interest through your office. So we can just identify that and maybe sponsor some folks from different agencies to continue attending there.

Chief Samuels: Yes, what I want when it comes to the training conferences that we've had issues (UNINTELLIGIBLE) Fire Shows so far, I don't (CROSS TALKING). Other ones.

Bowdie Young: Just a quick question. I know it's a long way off, but are we planning on activating the MACC during the Super Bowl next year, do we know yet?

Chief Samuels: Just so you know, you're going to be activated for F1, New Year's and Super Bowl this year, so yes, we're going to actually do a little more forward location with utilities. You guys will be getting in contact with.....Rachel do you remember who the lead is for the utilities side for one of your working groups?

Rachel Skidmore: It's Corey Ross, Jeff with NVEnergy and Angeline Szymanski with Clark County Water Reclamation District. But you know for Super Bowl we are activating the Wednesday before Super Bowl is what our tentative plan is right now.

Bowdie Young: Okay sounds good. We'll make sure we have personnel available.

Chief Samuels: The MACC will mirror exactly what Metro is doing for all their activations. We usually do the same thing as well and we will be activating to a Level One when it comes to the Super Bowl and I imagine the same with F1. If those working groups haven't gotten to you yet, they will. They're definitely going to hit you up. Carlito.

Carlito Rayos: I'm piggy backing on Chief Arboreen's comments on the International Hazmat Conference. We're also still trying to identify funding and would ask the LEPC support in helping identify funds for an electric vehicle and Lithium Ion class that (UNINTELLIGIBLE) by all of the (UNINTELLIGIBLE) response agencies here in town.

Chief Samuels: I'm glad you put that on record. Yeah, we need to get that. Chief Arboreen I will reach out to you and maybe some of your grant can assist us with (UNINTELLIGIBLE) that application if that's possible. Do I have anybody else for public comment?

Next Regularly Scheduled LEPC meeting: Tuesday, May 9, 2023 at 9:00 A.M. hybrid meeting via Lifesize, or in-person at the Clark County MACC.

Chief Samuels: I'm going to discuss what this would look like if we're going to stick with Lifesize when it comes to this meeting. It seems like we have a lot of pause and I'm not sure if maybe a zoom or a (UNINTELLIGIBLE) might better, so let me try to work with IT, I want that (UNINTELLIGIBLE) in the future, cause it's just kind of frustrating with all the pauses that we get when it comes to reading the Roster and Roll Call. But it's also going to be in person at the Clark County MACC. I'm hoping to not cancel on anyone. Some things popped up over the last couple days with all of our activations and things occurring in the state, so I do apologize. But with that I'm going to close out Agenda Item #VIII.

IX. Adjournment

Chief Samuels: This is for action.

Carolyn: Carolyn so moved.

Robert Palumbo: Second.

Chief Samuels: Carolyn with the first, Robert with the second, all in favor say "I". Meeting is adjourned.

* Denotes items on which possible action may be taken. Notice/agenda of meeting of the Clark County Local Emergency Planning Committee, scheduled for Thursday, March 16, 2023 at 02:00 PM. In conformance with the Nevada Public Meeting Law, this agenda was posted or caused to be posted on March 13, 2023 at 2:00 PM at the following locations:

Clark County Government Center Lobby Clark County 3rd Street Building Clark County Courthouse Annex Clark County Fire Department Administration City of North Las Vegas City of Las Vegas City of Boulder City City of Henderson City of Mesquite

Members of the public who require special accommodations or assistance at the meeting are requested to contact Andria Webster at the Clark County Office of Emergency Management & Homeland Security via phone: (702) 455-5710 or e-mail: Andria.webster@clarkcountynv.gov at least 72 hours in advance of meeting.